

## **SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD**

### **M.1 Basis for Award**

Selection of vendors with whom the Probation/Pretrial Services Office will establish BPA's will be based on technical acceptability and the lowest price to the Government. A vendor shall be determined to be technically acceptable if they can fulfill the mandatory requirements found in Sections C, E, F, and G of the Request for Proposals (RFP). If the solicitation document identifies that BPA's will be established with a specified number of vendors, the selection of technically acceptable vendors shall be based on price. For example, if a solicitation document identifies that 4 to 6 vendors are needed to provide services and 10 vendors are determined to be technically acceptable, awards will be made to no more than 6 of the lowest priced vendors.

### **M.2 Evaluation of Proposals**

- a. To be acceptable and eligible for evaluation, proposals shall be prepared in accordance with the instructions given in Sections B and L of this solicitation document.
- b. An offeror shall be determined to be Technically Acceptable if it demonstrates it can meet all the mandatory requirements found in Sections C, E, F and G of the RFP. All proposals shall be evaluated to ensure that all mandatory requirements set forth in Sections C, E, F and G of the RFP can be met. By submission of a proposal offeror accepts all the terms and conditions of the RFP.

Proposals that do not meet all the requirements of the RFP will receive no further consideration. Proposals that take exception to the terms and conditions will be determined technically unacceptable and the offeror will be so advised.

- c. Proposals will be evaluated to be considered Technically Acceptable using the following Pass/Fail Criteria. To determine that the offeror has met the following criteria, each proposal shall be evaluated to determine that every individual requirement has been met.

### **M.3 Pass-Fail Criteria**

The following criteria address the offeror's ability to perform and comply with all the mandatory service requirements set forth in the Request For Proposals. Offerors who do not meet these requirements will be deemed to be technically unacceptable and will receive no further consideration. The offeror(s) will be so advised. Proposed subcontractor personnel qualifications and facilities will be evaluated and considered in the determination of the offeror's technical acceptability.

The review of the criteria shall be based on the Offeror's Technical Proposal, which contains the Offeror's Narrative Statement, Offeror's Background Statement, and the Offerors Staff Qualifications. Each of these statements shall demonstrate how the offeror will perform/meet the mandatory requirements.

**MINIMUM MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS:**

- (a) Did the Offeror submit a statement providing the following:
  - i. A statement, service by service, of how the offeror (and any proposed subcontractor) will provide the mandatory requirements of Sections C (including any local services), E, F, and G of the RFP which reflects an understanding of the services required. YES or NO
  - ii. A description of the offeror's treatment philosophy and approach to providing services. YES or NO

**PAST PERFORMANCE**

- (a) Did the Offeror provide copies of all federal, state and local monitoring reports, letters, and/or federal, state, and local certificates for the previous 18 months? YES or NO
- (b) Monitoring reports, letters, and/or certificates are rated at least "satisfactory" or "pass" regarding performance.

**OR**

If any Monitoring Reports were rated less than "satisfactory," deficiencies have been corrected prior to submission of the proposal. YES or NO

**SITE(S) AT WHICH SERVICES ARE PROVIDED:**

- (a) Offeror's (and any proposed subcontractor) site(s) at which services will be provided is/are located in catchment area. YES or NO
- (b) Offeror has current required state and/or local operating license(s). YES or NO

- (c) Offeror is in compliance with all applicable fire, safety, and health codes. YES or NO
- (d) Offeror treatment facility(s) preserves confidentiality of client services YES or NO
- (e) Did the offeror identify and describe emergency contact procedures for clients? YES or NO

**STAFF QUALIFICATIONS:**

- (a) Offeror (and any proposed subcontractor) meets all minimum staff requirements listed in Section C of the RFP. YES or NO
- (b) The Offeror's Staff Qualifications Statement certified that no staff member(s) (including proposed subcontractor staff) providing services under this Agreement are under investigation for or charged with a criminal offense and/or under pretrial, probation, parole, mandatory release or supervised release. YES or NO
- (c) The Offeror's Staff Qualifications Statement certified that no staff member(s) (including proposed subcontractor staff) providing services under this Agreement have been convicted of any sexual offense (including but not limited to child pornography offenses, child exploitation, sexual abuse, rape, or sexual assault) or are required under federal, state or local law to register on the Sexual Offender registry. 0YES or NO

**ON-SITE VISITS**

On site visits will be conducted for those offeror's whose proposals are determined technically acceptable based on the above stated criteria and meet the lowest price requirement. On sites will be conducted to verify the offeror's written proposal. There will be on-site evaluations for all subcontractors providing services.

- (a) Offeror's (and any proposed subcontractor) facility meets requirements listed in Statement of Work. YES or NO
- (b) Services will be provided as presented in the Offeror's proposal. YES or NO

**M. 4 Evaluation of Price**

The Government will determine Total Evaluated Price for required services by using the following formula:

- (a) Determining Total Evaluated Price.--Multiply the Estimate Monthly Quantity (EMQ) by 12 months to get a Yearly Quantity. Multiply that figure by the Unit Price offered to arrive at the Total Evaluated Price for that service item. Yearly prices of service items are totaled to arrive at Total Evaluated Price for each offeror.
- (b) Service items that are offered at "N/C" or No Charge, will be evaluated in the Life of Agreement comparison by entering \$0.00 for the unit price.
- (c) Service items that are reimbursable at actual prices or at a travel regulation rate are not considered in the price comparison.
- (d) Service items not marked as required services will not be evaluated or considered.
- (e) Total Evaluated Price (TEP) shall be rank ordered to show the lowest TEP.

**M.5 Provision 2-85A Evaluation Inclusive of Options (JAN 2003)**

- (a) The judiciary will evaluate offers for purposes of award by adding the total **price** for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options does not obligate the judiciary to exercise the option(s).
- (b) Any offer that is materially unbalanced as to prices for basic and option quantities may be rejected. An unbalanced offer is one that is based on prices significantly less than prices for some work and prices that are significantly overstated for other work

**M.6 Clause 3-70 Determination of Responsibility (JAN 2003)**

A determination of responsibility will be made on the apparent successful offeror prior to contract award. If the prospective contractor is found non-responsible, that offeror will be rejected and will receive no further consideration for award. In the event a contractor is rejected based on a determination of non-responsibility, a determination will be made on the next apparent successful offeror.